6	
7	BEFORE THE VICTIM COMPENSATION AND GOVERNMENT CLAIMS BOARD
8	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	
0	In the Matter of the Claim of:
ı	Brian Banks Notice of Decision
2	
3	
4	On March 19, 2015, the California Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board
5	adopted the attached Proposed Decision of the Hearing Officer as its Decision in the above-referenced
5	matter.
7	
8	Date: March 20, 2015
9	Tisha Heard Board Liaison
0	California Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board
,	
2	
,	
4	

BEFORE THE VICTIM COMPENSATION AND GOVERNMENT CLAIMS BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Claim of:

Brian Banks

Proposed Decision
(Penal Code § 4900)

a

Introduction

This claim for compensation as an erroneously convicted person was decided based on the written record by considering all the evidence submitted and without a telephonic or in-person hearing. Kevin Kwong was assigned to hear this matter by the Executive Officer of the California Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board. The claimant, Brian Banks, was represented by attorney Alissa Bjerkhoel from the California Innocence Project. The California Attorney General's Office was represented by Larenda Delaini, Deputy Attorney General (AG).

As explained below, Banks has met the statutory requirements to receive compensation under Penal Code section 4900 because he has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that he did not commit the crimes with which he was charged. Banks is entitled to \$142,200 in compensation for being incarcerated for 1,422 days after conviction.¹

¹ Banks was sentenced on October 8, 2003, and was released from prison on August 29, 2007.

Procedural Background

On July 8, 2002, Brian Banks was a student attending Polytechnic High School in Long Beach, California. Shortly after 11:45 a.m., he met with classmate Wanetta G. and the two had a sexual encounter in a secluded stairwell inside a school building. Later that evening Banks was arrested by the police for crimes that included raping Wanetta G.

П

On January 22, 2003, the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office charged Banks with forcible rape, forcible sodomy, and kidnapping. Banks, who was 16 years-old at the time of the incident, was charged as an adult and faced 41 years-to-life in prison. On August 20, 2003, Banks pled no contest to the charge of forcible rape and was sentenced to six years in prison with a 90-day diagnostic evaluation pursuant to Penal code section 1203.03.² After the diagnostic evaluation, the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation recommended that Banks be granted probation. However, at Banks' sentencing hearing on October 8, 2003, the court denied probation and ordered Banks to serve the rest of his six-year sentence, with credit for time served. Banks filed a petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus on May 12, 2006. The court denied the petition for vagueness, failure to state a claim, and failure to raise issues on direct appeal. On August 29, 2007, Banks was released from prison on probation and was required to register as a sex offender.

After Wanetta G. seemingly recanted her accusation of being raped, Banks filed a petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus in the California Superior Court on August 15, 2011. The District Attorney's Office conceded that this petition should be granted. The court granted the petition in the interests of justice on May 24, 2012, reversing his rape conviction and all charges were immediately dismissed by the District Attorney's Office.³ On or about January 21, 2014, Banks filed his claim for compensation under Penal Code section 4900 with the Board.

Summary of Evidence

In the summer of 2002, Brian Banks was attending school at Polytechnic High School in Long Beach, California. Banks was about to enter his senior year and was a star football player. He

² Banks plea of no contest was made pursuant to *People v. West* (1970) 3 Cal.3d 595. The California Supreme Court has described a *West* plea as one where the defendant professes belief in his innocence and does not admit a factual basis for the plea.

³ No finding of factual innocence was made by the court.

a s

received numerous letters of interest from colleges across the country due to his football skills. He also received a full football scholarship to attend the University of Southern California (USC). Banks stated in his declaration that he would have accepted the scholarship to attend USC. On July 8, 2002, at approximately 11:45 a.m., Banks was in the hallway after asking for permission to leave the classroom so that he could use his phone. His teacher gave him some papers to drop off at the main office while he was outside.

Wanetta G. was a student at Polytechnic High School about to enter her sophomore year. She left her classroom in the 900 building and headed for the bathroom in the 700 building. On the way she encountered Banks, whom she had known for several years. After a short conversation the two entered the 700 building together. While Wanetta G. was using the bathroom Banks waited outside. The two then took an elevator to the second floor of the building and walked down a hall to access a stairwell. Here, the two engaged in sexual activities.

I. Wanetta G.'s statements as to what occurred

Wanetta G. reported later that day that she was raped by Banks and Banks was subsequently arrested. Over time, Wanetta G. has told numerous different accounts of the incident.⁴

Note to Sherell Washington

After returning to class, Wanetta G. wrote a note and passed it to her classmate, Sherell Washington. Washington stated that Wanetta G. did not appear upset when she returned to class. Wanetta G.'s note stated that she went to the bathroom in the 700 building and Banks was there. He picked her up, put her in the elevator, took her down stairs, pulled her pants off, and raped her. Banks did not use a condom and Wanetta G. had now lost her virginity. Washington read this note but did not speak to Wanetta G. about it.

According to a declaration written by Washington on June 26, 2011, she and Wanetta G. had a verbal confrontation during the school year after the incident. Here, Wanetta G. stated that the rape accusation was false and that she only made the accusation because she did not want her mother to know that she was sexually active.

⁴ Wanetta G.'s numerous statements are repeated to show her inconsistencies, namely in how she entered the elevator, what happened inside the elevator, and what happened during the sexual encounter.

Statement to Ericka Rhodes

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Ericka Rhodes is Wanetta G.'s sister. After school ended at around 12:30 p.m., the two met and Rhodes could tell that something was wrong because Wanetta G.'s face was very red. Rhodes asked Wanetta G. multiple times what was wrong but Wanetta G. would only respond by saying "nothing." After the two passed Banks outside the school, Wanetta G. stated to Rhodes that Banks made her have sex with him. Rhodes told Wanetta G. that they needed to tell somebody about the incident and they returned to school.

Statements to Sherval Walther, Gwen Mack, and Shawn Ashley

Wanetta G. told assistant principal Sheryal Walther that she met Banks in the 700 building. Here he pulled up her shirt and felt her breasts. He then turned her around, pulled down her pants, and "did it." Wanetta G. then spoke to co-principal Gwen Mack. She told Mack that she used the restroom in the 700 building and ran into Banks. He pulled her into the elevator and the two ended up in the bottom of the stairwell. Banks fondled her and pulled down her pants. Once her pants were down they "did it."

The incident was then reported to principal Shawn Ashley. Wanetta G. told Ashley that she was in the 700 building and ran into Banks, who asked Wanetta G. if he could help her with something in the bathroom. Wanetta G. then got water from the nearby drinking fountain when Banks approached from behind, lifted her up, and put her in the elevator. Inside he started touching her abdominal area and Wanetta G. told him to stop. When they exited the elevator, Banks led her down the hallway as the two were holding hands. They walked down the stairwell and once they reached the bottom they began to have sexual intercourse. At some point during intercourse, Banks told Wanetta G. to be quiet because there was somebody at the top and she would hear them. Wanetta G. looked up and saw a female standing at the top of the stairs talking on her phone. After they finished, Banks exited through the doors at the bottom of the stairs while Wanetta G. walked up the stairs, cleaned up in the bathroom, and returned to class without reporting the rape to anybody.

Statements to Law Enforcement

Police arrived at the school to take Wanetta G.'s statement. She told police that she left class to use the bathroom in the 700 building. Here, she saw Banks and Banks asked her if she needed any help in the bathroom. After using the bathroom she went to the water fountain. Banks then told

Wanetta G. to "come over here" and was motioning to the elevator. Wanetta G. told Banks that she did not want to go towards him, but when the elevator doors opened Banks grabbed Wanetta G. by the shoulders with both hands and placed her inside the elevator.

Inside the elevator Banks tried to unbuckle Wanetta G.'s pants several times but she pushed his hand away each time and told him to stop. When the elevator door opened Banks grabbed her hand and led her down the hallway. They passed two classrooms that had opened doors and students inside. They entered the stairwell and went to the bottom. Wanetta G. tried to make a noise because she saw a female on the top balcony area. However, each time she tried to make a noise Banks told her to be quiet. Banks pushed her into a corner and grabbed both of her hands with only one of his hands. He began playing with her upper area and then told Wanetta G. to pull down her pants. She said "no," but Banks was able to unbuckle her belt and pull her pants down. Banks then made her have sex with him and that "he did it in the front, then in the back." After, Banks told Wanetta G. to not tell anybody and to go back the way that she came. Wanetta G. stopped off in the bathroom, wiped her vaginal area with a tissue, and flushed the tissue down the toilet.

While waiting for a sexual assault examination at the hospital later in the day, Wanetta G. spoke with a different police officer. She stated that Banks forced her into the elevator and then led her to the stairwell. Once Banks had taken off her pants he pulled down his pants and exposed his penis. He placed one of Wanetta G.'s hands on his penis and held it down, making her stroke it back and forth. Wanetta G. was forced to stroke Banks' penis for about two to four minutes. She tried to stop but he continued to hold her hand and prevent her from moving it. Banks eventually lifted Wanetta G.'s shirt and began to suck and kiss her breasts, as well as her neck and mouth.

Banks then turned Wanetta G. around, leaned her up against the wall, and told her to bend over. Wanetta G. refused. Banks was able to insert his penis into Wanetta G.'s vagina but "just a little bit." Wanetta G. said that it hurt and she told Banks to stop. Banks turned Wanetta G. around and tried to insert his penis again. Wanetta G. stated that less of his penis entered the second time and a little bit of his penis entered her anus. Banks finally let Wanetta G. go and told her to go up the stairwell so that they would not be seen together. Wanetta G. went to the bathroom and wiped off "white and slimy wet stuff" off her vagina.

Preliminary Hearing Testimony

On January 8, 2003, Wanetta G. testified at Banks's preliminary hearing. Wanetta G. had left class and walked to the 700 building to use the bathroom. She saw Banks and he asked her if she needed help in the bathroom and she said no. After using the bathroom she went to the water fountain. Banks did not say anything to her, instead the elevator door opened and Banks took her hand and pushed her into the elevator. Inside the elevator Banks lifted up her shirt and started sucking on her breasts. She told Banks to stop and tried to push him away.

When the elevator doors opened Banks grabbed her wrist and led her down the hallway, which had one open classroom door. They entered the stairwell and Banks began unbuckling her belt. Wanetta G. saw a girl at the top of the stairs but did not call for help because the girl was speaking Spanish on her cell phone. Banks unbuckled Wanetta G.'s belt, pulled down her pants, and then pulled down his pants. He grabbed her hand and had her stroke his penis. Banks then kissed her neck and mouth and touched her vagina. Banks then inserted his penis into her vagina.

Banks also tried to insert his penis into Wanetta G.'s anus. Wanetta G. stated that he got it in a little and that it hurt. Banks then told Wanetta G. to turn around and bend over. Wanetta G. said "no" but still turned around and bent over. Banks penetrated her vagina again for two to four minutes. Wanetta G. said that she repeatedly said "stop Brian" and that Banks responded by saying "stop saying my name."

Civil Deposition Testimony

On May 20, 2004, Wanetta G. was deposed in relation to a civil lawsuit against the school district. Wanetta G. testified that after coming out of the bathroom she got a drink of water at the fountain and Banks was behind her. She asked Banks what he was doing and he did not respond. He then grabbed her right wrist, pulled it behind her back, and pushed her into the open elevator. Wanetta G. was not yelling but getting loud, and Banks told her to be quiet. Inside the elevator Banks continued to hold her wrist, and he lifted her shirt and touched and sucked her breasts.

⁵ Wanetta G.'s mother sued the Long Beach Unified School District in 2003, generally alleging a lack of proper security at the school. The claim was settled and Wanetta G.'s mother received \$750,000.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

1

The elevator doors opened and Banks grabbed Wanetta G.'s hand and pulled her down the hallway. Wanetta G. saw a girl come out of an open classroom and go to the top of the stairwell while talking on the phone. Wanetta G. did not think to scream. At the bottom of the stairwell, Banks closed all the doors and told Wanetta G, that nobody could enter from the outside. She said "stop, Brian" and Banks told her to "stop saying my name." Banks was able to take Wanetta G.'s pants off and he proceeded to kiss her on the neck but not on the lips. He also touched her breasts and rubbed her vagina. Banks was holding Wanetta G.'s wrist and tried to get her to play with his penis but she pulled her hand away. Eventually he inserted about half of his penis into her vagina. She told Banks to stop and Banks again said "stop saying my name." They had intercourse for a couple of minutes and Wanetta G. felt some "slimy stuff." Banks then tried to insert his penis into Wanetta G.'s anus but she moved and pushed Banks away.

II. SART Exam and DNA Results

Wanetta G. underwent a SART exam about three hours after the incident. Wanetta G. told sexual assault nurse, Jane Hare, that Banks penetrated her vagina on two occasions and inserted his penis into her anus a little bit. She also stated that Banks kissed her on her neck and mouth and ejaculated into her vagina. Wanetta G. did not mention that she was assaulted in the elevator.

The examination showed that there was a moderate amount of "white, thick secretions" in Wanetta G.'s vagina but that the material could have been discharged from Wanetta G. herself and that it was not definitively semen. This secretion was never tested and never linked to an individual. Wanetta G. also had a few tears around her gentiles with most of them on the outer areas of the vagina. Hare stated that the injuries could have been from consensual intercourse although injuries from consensual intercourse usual occur in one area rather than multiple areas. No semen or any other bodily fluids were found on Wanetta G.'s body. Additionally, she did not have any bruising on her skin or tearing on her clothes and her physical description was described as "within normal limits."

A criminologist conducted a DNA test on the sexual assault kit and Wanetta G.'s clothing. He did not detect any sperm in his vaginal or rectal tests. He also tested for P30, which is a protein common to semen and may be found even if sperm is not present. There were no findings of P30 in the vaginal or rectal regions as well. However, Wanetta G.'s underwear had stains that tested

positive for P30. The stained portions were further tested and it revealed that only Wanetta G.'s skin DNA was on this part of her underwear. Banks' skin DNA was not on the stained part.

III. Banks' statements as to what occurred

Banks' statements to law enforcement, his civil deposition, and his statement to the AG's office for this hearing are similar. They are summarized below.

Banks was arrested at his home at 6:23 p.m. on the day of the incident. He stated that he saw Wanetta G. coming out of the bathroom in the 700 building and asked her to come over and talk to him. He then asked Wanetta G. to go into the elevator with him and she complied. He did not push, pull, or grab Wanetta G. to get her to come into the elevator. Banks stated that he wanted to take Wanetta G. to an area near the stairwell so that they could "mess around" and that he wanted to have intercourse but "was going to just see what happened."

Inside the elevator Banks had his arm around Wanetta G. but he did not kiss or touch her. When the doors opened they stepped out together and he was not holding her hand as they walked past the open classrooms. Once inside the stairwell the two began kissing. Banks slid his hand underneath Wanetta G.'s shirt and played with her breasts. He kissed and sucked on her breasts while Wanetta G. stroked his penis, first on the outside of his clothing, and then he pulled it out of his pants. Banks put Wanetta G.'s hands on his exposed penis and she was rubbing it on her own for one to two minutes.

Banks then turned Wanetta G. around so that she was facing away from him and proceeded to unzip her pants. Banks then pulled down his pants and told Wanetta G. to bend over. Wanetta G. told Banks that she did not want to do it like that and then turned around to face Banks. Wanetta G. then straddled Banks while he leaned against the wall. Banks' penis was between Wanetta G.'s legs and rubbing against her vagina but had not penetrated it. Banks then smelled something bad coming from Wanetta G.'s vagina and decided not to have intercourse because he was turned off by the smell. They continued to touch and kiss for a few minutes and his penis was rubbing between Wanetta G.'s legs. Banks then heard a lady at the top of the stairs and they became scared. Wanetta G. said that she wanted to leave so they pulled up their pants and left in different directions.

Banks has repeatedly stated that he never had intercourse with Wanetta G., that he never forced her into the elevator or stairwell, and that she never indicated a desire for him to stop when

they began fondling each other. He states that he only took the plea bargain because he was scared and felt forced to take the deal. He was told by his attorney that a large, African-American kid, charged with rape did not look favorable in front a jury comprised of white people. He did not want to risk 41 years-to-life in prison and his attorney told him that he could be out of prison after his 90-day diagnostic, or no more than a three-year sentence.

IV. Prior Sexual Assault Allegations Against Banks⁶

Accuser T.L.

A few weeks after Banks was arrested, Wanetta G.'s mother placed law enforcement in contact with T.L., a minor who also alleged that Banks sexually assaulted her. T.L. stated that in September 2000, Banks grabbed her breasts by reaching over her head while he was sitting in the row behind her on a school bus.

Later that school year, Banks held her hand and they walked to the third floor of the science building. Here, Banks lifted her blouse and started sucking her breasts. He then told her to close her eyes and open her mouth. Banks proceeded to place his penis inside her mouth and used his hands to push her head forward.

Law enforcement asked T.L. if this encounter was consensual. T.L. paused and made a facial gesture "as if she was not sure." She said that she never told Banks that she did not want to do anything because she was afraid that he would get mad. In her own mind she did not want to do it, although she never communicated her refusal to Banks and did not resist or give him the impression to stop.

Banks has repeatedly denied knowing anybody named T.L. He states that these allegations are not true and he only learned of them while looking at his case file in prison. He speculates that Wanetta G.'s mother was just trying to recruit females to make up stories about him.

Accuser M.S.

During Wanetta G.'s civil lawsuit, a minor female student named M.S. testified about her encounter with Banks. In November 2001, M.S. used the bathroom and saw Banks in the hall.

⁶ In sexual offense cases, evidence that the defendant committed other sexual offenses is admissible in evaluating credibility. (*People v. Falsetta* (1999) 21 Cal.4th 903, 911, 922), Evid. Code, § 1108.

Banks asked her to come over and she did. M.S. felt special because Banks was a popular football player at school. The two walked into the stairwell of a building that M.S. could not remember. After some small talk, Banks told her that he was "going to show her something" and that it would not hurt. M.S. stated that she did not want to learn anything. Banks then put her head "down there" and M.S. told him to stop but Banks kept forcing it. Banks' penis entered her mouth for just a little bit. M.S. then ran away.

.3

M.S. went back to class and eventually saw a school counselor later that day. She did not go into details with the counselor but was told that she should report the incident. M.S. then reported the incident and the school called the police and her father. M.S. told police that she did not want to press charges, did not want to testify, and was worried about retaliation. No charges were ever filed.

Banks stated that he and M.S. flirted back and forth and after M.S. used the bathroom they walked to the stairwell in the 700 building. Banks stated that he believed that they were going to have sex, but M.S. stated told him that she was on her period and that she could not have sex. Instead, M.S. willingly performed oral sex on Banks until he ejaculated.

Banks denied forcing M.S. to perform any sexual act on him. He guessed that M.S. may have been scared that Banks would have boasted about this incident to the school so she tried to beat him to the punch by saying it was nonconsensual. Banks stated that due to this incident he was suspended by the school for the first round of his football team's playoff game and he had to attend counseling about how to deal with sexual urges,

V. Meetings with Wanetta G. after Banks was Released From Prison

On February 28, 2011, Wanetta G. sent Banks a friend request on Facebook. Banks did not accept the request but asked Wanetta G., via Facebook message, why she had made up so many lies about him. Wanetta G. said that she did not want to talk about that, that both their lives had changed and she wanted to move on. She said that she did not hate Banks and both should say sorry to each other.

On March 2, 2011, Banks and Wanetta G. had a face-to-face meeting. When discussing the rape accusation Wanetta G. said that it was not true and that if it was true she would not have met him today. She said that she would help Banks but that she didn't want to have to repay the money that she received in her civil lawsuit. The following day, Banks and Wanetta G. met again. Also

included in this meeting was private investigator Fred Parish. Wanetta G. stated that she and Banks were making out and that it was consensual. She further stated that Banks did not force her to have intercourse, did not rape her, and did not kidnap her. A school security guard was the one who told Wanetta G. that Banks had raped her after Wanetta G. told her the story of what happened. Wanetta G. stated that the adults blew things way out of proportion and made her say and believe things that did not happen. A lot of the accusations were false but she was told not to say anything. Parish asked Wanetta G. if she would sign a document stating that Banks did not kidnap or rape her. Wanetta G. wanted to think about it and then asked if she would have to pay the money back that she received in her civil lawsuit. Wanetta G. never signed a declaration because she said that her attorneys informed her that a recantation could result in having to pay back her civil lawsuit settlement.

After Banks filed his habeas petition, Wanetta G. met with the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office. Here, she stated that Banks told her to say that he did not rape or kidnap her. He even offered her money for her to recant. She wanted Banks to leave her alone and maintained that Banks raped her. She also stated that the video of her meeting with Parish where she recanted was edited. Wanetta G. stated that the incident started off consensual and that she consented to the touching and making out. Then Banks pulled down her pants, she pulled them back up, and he pulled them down again. She said to herself in her own mind that she was not going to fight him and "do what you gotta do and leave me alone." She stated that she never told Banks "no" even though in many of her previous statements she said that she told Banks to stop.

Arguments

The AG states that it does not find Wanetta G. credible and finds Banks to be credible. Thus, both the AG and Banks' attorneys make similar arguments as to why Banks has met his burden of proof.

Wanetta G. has wavered as to whether the sexual encounter was consensual or nonconsensual. These inconsistent statements cast doubt on her credibility. Wanetta G. originally stated that she was forced to the stairwell and then raped. However, the following school year she told Sherell Washington that the allegation was false and was done so that her mother would not know that she was sexually active. In the two face-to-face meetings with Banks, Wanetta G. stated

that the accusations were false and everything was consensual. Following these statements, Wanetta G. told the District Attorney's Office that she voluntarily went to the stairwell. Here, the touching and kissing were consensual but then Banks raped her, although she never actually told Banks "no" after their pants came off. The fact that Wanetta G. now admits that she never told Banks "no" also contradicts many of her earlier statements.

Wanetta G. has also made numerous contradictory statements in the details of the event which is another reason why she lacks credibility. Some of the contradictory details include whether and how she was forced into the elevator; what was said and what occurred inside the elevator; how they walked down the hallway; parts of the body that were touched and kissed; whether Banks forced her to stroke his penis; and whether sodomy occurred. While some of these inconsistencies are minor details, the inconsistencies taken as a whole make it seem as if Wanetta G. had trouble recalling prior versions of the story rather than simply not remembering details. These inconsistencies are especially troubling in light of her wavering statements about what was and was not consensual.

It is also unclear whether Banks and Wanetta G. even had sexual intercourse. In addition to their conflicting statements, Wanetta G. underwent a SART exam three hours after the incident but the physical evidence does not show that there was sperm in Wanetta G.'s vagina despite her statements that Banks ejaculated inside her. There were also no bodily fluids on Wanetta G.'s body.

The AG states that even if intercourse occurred, Banks was under the reasonable belief that Wanetta G. was still consenting to the act. Wanetta G.'s latest statement shows that she voluntarily went to the stairwell to touch and kiss Banks, including touching his exposed penis. Wanetta G. also stated that she never said "no" to intercourse. Even though she pulled up her pants once, in light of her other actions it is reasonable that Banks had the erroneous belief of consent. Since the sexual encounter was not accomplished by fear or threat of injury, Banks had no way to know that Wanetta G. was no longer consenting to intercourse based on Wanetta G.'s cooperation up to this point. A

⁷ A woman who initially consents to intercourse may change her mind during the act. If she changes her mind, and intercourse still occurs, it is without her consent if (1) she communicated through words or acts that she no longer consented, (2) a reasonable person would have understood her words or actions as a lack of consent, and (3) the defendant continued intercourse despite her objection. (Pen. Code § 261.6 and CALCRIM No. 1000).

reasonable person would not have understood Wanetta G.'s lack of consent, thus Banks did not commit rape.

The AG does not believe that the prior sexual assault allegations are harmful to Banks' claim. Even if these allegations were proven to be true, Wanetta G.'s multiple varying statements in the details of the event, whether intercourse even occurred, and the issue of consent, cast doubt on whether anything she said can be believed. Her lack of credibility, coupled with Banks being credible, overcomes any credibility doubts that his prior sexual assault allegations may show.

Additionally, Banks argues that he suffered a pecuniary loss. Banks received a full scholarship to USC and would have majored in psychology and journalism, in addition to playing on the football team.⁸ Banks was denied his opportunity to play in the National Football League (NFL). After his exoneration, Banks tried out for numerous NFL teams in 2013. Banks did not make any NFL roster and played on a United Football League team for a few months before that league folded. Banks later received an NFL training camp invitation from the Atlanta Falcons and played pre-season games, but he was released before the regular season began. Banks was said to have lost a lot in training by being in prison and not playing football for a top college football program.

In addition to his lost football dreams, Banks had to register as a sex offender upon release from prison. This affected his ability to gain employment. Finally, Banks suffered the separation from his family and the mental anguish of being in prison as a rapist.

Determination of Issues

A person erroneously convicted and imprisoned for a felony may submit a claim to the Board for pecuniary injury sustained as a result of his erroneous conviction and imprisonment. Penal Code section 4903 provides that in order to state a successful claim for compensation, the claimant must prove the following by a preponderance of the evidence:

⁸ USC had one of the best college football programs in the country at this time. They won the National Championship in 2003 and 2004, and were runners-up in 2005. Banks presumably would have been on these teams.

⁹ Pen. Code, § 4900.

¹⁰ Diola v. Board of Control (1982) 135 Cal.App.3d 580, 588, fn 7; Tennison v. Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board (2000) 152 Cal. App. 4th 1164. Preponderance of the evidence means

- 1. That the crime with which he was charged was either not committed at all, or, if committed, was not committed by him; and
- 2. That he sustained a pecuniary injury through his erroneous conviction and imprisonment.

appropriation of \$100.00 per day of incarceration served subsequent to conviction be made for the claimant. A claimant's mere denial of the commission of the crime, or reversal of the judgment of conviction on appeal may be considered by the Board, but it will not be sufficient evidence to carry the claimant's burden in the absence of substantial independent corroborating evidence that the claimant is innocent of the crime charged. Here, Banks' claim should be approved because there is a preponderance of the evidence that he did not commit the crime with which he was charged.

Most importantly, Wanetta G. has wavered as to whether or not she was raped. Wanetta G. twice told Banks that she was not raped and that her accusations were false. One of these instances was recorded on video and was told with a private investigator in the room. Wanetta G. thought about putting her recantation in writing, but hesitated when she thought that she may have to repay her \$750,000 civil settlement. Thus, she had a financial motivation to continue with her claim of being raped. In her most recent statement to authorities, she still alleged that she was raped but recanted her other accusations that she was forced into the elevator and stairwell and that the entire sexual encounter was nonconsensual. The District Attorney's Office believed these recantations and did not oppose Banks' habeas petition.

Wanetta G. has made numerous statements and each time details of the incident differ. While some of these inconsistencies are minor and can be considered reasonable if she was a trauma victim,

Q

[&]quot;evidence that has more convincing force than that opposed to it." (*People v. Miller* (1916) 171 Cal. 649, 652.)

¹¹ Pen. Code, § 4904.

¹² Cal. Code of Regs., title 2, § 641. All regulations citations are to California Code of Regulations, title 2.

¹³ In 2013, the Long Beach Unified School District obtained a default judgment against Wanetta G. for \$2.6 million dollars, which constitutes the repayment of the settlement amount plus fees. Although details of this case and judgment are unknown, it likely indicates that the court determined that Wanetta G. lied when she accused Banks of kidnapping and raping her.

others are more serious. These include larger details such as whether sodomy occurred, whether she stroked Banks' penis, whether she was pushed, pulled, or carried into the elevator, and what occurred inside the elevator. These inconsistencies are more troubling in light of her wavering statements as to whether or not she was raped.

The physical evidence does not conclusively show that intercourse occurred the way Wanetta G. sometimes described it. Wanetta G. stated, in a few of her versions of the events, that Banks ejaculated inside her vagina. However, even after undergoing a SART exam a few hours later that day, no semen or P30 was found inside her vagina. There were also no bodily fluids found on Wanetta G.'s body. While there could be plausible scientific reasons why semen was not found in Wanetta G., in light of her other inconsistencies, this raises more doubts on her credibility.

Based on Wanetta G.'s wavering statements regarding consent, her inconsistent statements regarding details of the incident, the physical evidence, the District Attorney's Office conceding the habeas petition, and the AG's conclusion that Wanetta G. is not credible, it is determined that Wanetta G.'s story of being raped is not credible.

Further, even if intercourse occurred, Wanetta G. did not reasonably communicate an indication that she did not consent to intercourse. Wanetta G.'s most recent statements were that she voluntarily went with Banks to the secluded stairwell and she consented to touching and kissing. This included touching his penis and him touching her breasts. Wanetta G. said that she never told Banks "no" when he pulled down her pants, although she did pull up her pants the first time Banks pulled them down. Having consented in the sexual encounter up to this point, it is unlikely that Banks had any reason to believe that Wanetta G. did not consent to intercourse when he pulled Wanetta G.'s pants down. These facts assist Banks in meeting his burden that he did not commit rape even if intercourse occurred.

Based on the totality of the evidence, Banks has met his burden of proving that he did not commit rape. Wanetta G.'s lack of credibility nullifies her testimony of being raped by Banks. Since the SART exam and DNA evidence is inconclusive that intercourse occurred, there is a preponderance of the evidence that Banks is innocent of the crime.

Banks also suffered a pecuniary loss. Despite his statements and his NFL tryouts, it is purely speculative, and statistically unlikely, that Banks would have become an NFL player had he not gone to prison. However, Banks would have had the opportunity to play for, and further develop his skills, on a prestigious college football team. This could have led to a pecuniary gain even if he did not make the NFL. Additionally, attending USC would have assisted him in gaining employment. Based on these factors, there is a preponderance of the evidence that Banks suffered a pecuniary loss due to his imprisonment.

Banks' claim is approved and he is entitled to \$142,200 in compensation.

Dated: February 9, 2015

g

Kevin D. Kwong Hearing Officer

California Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board

(https://www.ncaa.org/sites/default/files/Probability-of-going-pro-methodology_Update2013.pdf)

¹⁴ According to the National Collegiate Athletic Association, the governing body of college sports, only 1.6 percent of college football players are ever drafted into the NFL, and .08 percent of students who ever play high school football are drafted into the NFL.